

077

Imray, Andrew

From: Cummings, John
Sent: 30 June 2010 09:10
To: McLaren, Mark
Subject: Fw: Contact from TIGLS

Only forwarded in context of your verbal briefing to ACC today.

JC
Sent from Blackberry

From: Cummings, John
To: Cronin, Gerry
Sent: Wed Jun 30 08:19:26 2010
Subject: RE: Contact from TIGLS

RESTRICTED-

Great

JC

-----Original Message-----

From: Cronin, Gerry
Sent: 30 June 2010 08:09
To: Cummings, John
Subject: RE: Contact from TIGLS

RESTRICTED-

No problem.

I was intending following the strategy and adopting a low profile approach and will look for Steve to have the lead / manage engagement with all parties concerned.

Gerry

-----Original Message-----

From: Cummings, John
Sent: 30 June 2010 08:04
To: Cronin, Gerry
Subject: RE: Contact from TIGLS

RESTRICTED-

Hello Gerry

Clearly I am not sighted on the detail of issue such as locking gates etc and where the legalities of that stand.

From my perspective we need to remain clear that we stick to and remind all of the four strands of our strategy for dealing with any issues in relation to this development and that our approach is proportionate.

At face value this appears to be a case of continued engagement with all the parties. As far as I can see there is nothing illegal happening or indeed any evidence of anything illegal planned or any specific intelligence in that regard. Engagement should therefore continue with all the parties to obtain the clearest picture of what may be happening and if we become involved in responding to anything we can do so within the four strands of the strategy.

In terms of maintaining our neutral position would be reluctant to see us proceed down a path of having sets of keys at our disposal unless we could a) show that it was critical to achieving the strategy and b) it was legal. Overall I am reluctant to go down this path as it may suggest we are closer to one part than another. . I would have expected a bit of analysis as to the legal position viz Countryside Act etc however recognise their demands on the Ellon Sgt last night. That may need to be explored and brought to the attention of TIGLS. Equally TIGLS need to have a very clear expectation about our our call grading system and further engagement with them should emphasise this.

In terms of staffing, at this stage there would be prudence in scoping what we have available. Staff need to be clear and reminded of the strategy and our approach to these issues.

Can you can bring me up to date tmrw when I am back.

Many thanks

JC

-----Original Message-----

From: Cronin, Gerry
Sent: 30 June 2010 07:35
To: Cummings, John
Cc: Duncan, Graeme (SB)
Subject: FW: Contact from TIGLS

RESTRICTED-

John

Copied for your information.

I will speak to Steve this afternoon as to whether we need to have more resilience at Ellon on Sunday.

Gerry


-----Original Message-----

From: Lamb, Philip
Sent: 30 June 2010 00:36
To: Hiley, Derek; Pratt, Steven; Cronin, Gerry
Subject: RE: Contact from TIGLS

RESTRICTED-

Sir(s),

Steve Thomson went down to the Menie Estate / Forbes ground this evening on a general patrol and



Steve then had a chat with the security staff from Menie (who are clearly on high alert re these recent developments) and it would appear that they are considering locking down the estate and padlocking gates as a preventative measure etc. They told Steve that they believe there to be at least three people staying in the tent although no further details / descriptions were passed.

Re the gates being padlocked, Steve was told that they were going to contact Insp Hiley (now A/L) re sorting out a key for Police to use ?, however I'm not sure what position locking all the gates etc will put them in terms of Countryside Act / Public right of access / emergency services access etc and I suppose there may be issues around us having our own key in terms of advocating this course of action ?.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is the area that will be used to access the beach for their endurance event and as such there should be no cause for any riders to come into contact with any security staff.

Sorry I have nothing more to report, but due to various staffing issues / custody management duties and other ongoing incidents this was as much as could be done.

I am now days off until Saturday early shift but can be contacted on my mobile anytime should anyone need to speak with me.

Cheers.

Phil Lamb

-----Original Message-----

From: Hiley, Derek
Sent: 29 June 2010 18:23
To: Pratt, Steven; Cronin, Gerry
Cc: Lamb, Philip
Subject: Contact from TIGLS

RESTRICTED-

Hi chaps,

I had Sarah Malone on the phone [REDACTED] 01358 743300. Some her construction workers advise that there is [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Not an issue in itself we know, however, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I am aware of an event on Sunday and of course Sarah is highlighting her awareness of this and the fact that [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] have just received planning permission and will commence construction work in anger I believe on Thursday may be significant!!

Their security are aware. Kevin McKay can be contacted on [REDACTED]

have emphasised the need to continue to concentrate security on the areas that are critical to the build and the areas assessed as possibly being targets. She has agreed to do this.

I have emphasised the way in which she can make contact and have advised I will be on leave as of tonight. I have said if there is anything slow time, she can contact Steve (sorry Steve).

I advised we were aware of the Sunday event and but said nothing further. I have obviously been away for a while, so can I leave it with yourselves to consider.

SID entry on and asked Phil Lamb to make sure Formartine officers have drive by and see and leave word for you both.

Deke

Gerry,

As discussed, many thanks for seeing to the relevant updates for the TT&CG and FTA.

All the best
Deke

077

Imray, Andrew

From: Pratt, Steven
Sent: 30 June 2010 15:49
To: Cummings, John
Cc: Cronin, Gerry; Blackhall, Craig
Subject: FW: Contact from TIGLS

RESTRICTED-

Superintendent - The Land Reform Act is a civil piece of legislation which places the duty on the Local Authority (Land Access Officer) to ensure that the various access rights are being maintained. There is no 'criminal' act as such but the local authority can take civil action and apply to a Sheriff to have an order if access is being denied, equally the land owner can appeal etc, etc. When the Trump development was first proposed I obtained a full HMSO copy of the legislation to try and make some sense of it all. Interestingly there is a clause in the Act which sets out circumstances in which access rights are not exercisable and they include, amongst other things, land on which building, civil engineering or demolition works are being carried out therefore it is a possibility that TIGLS could, if they wish, deny access once construction begins.

[REDACTED]

As with other events that TUT had last year on the beach policing is very much as per the 4 strands of the strategy with 'low key' the order of the day.

Steve Pratt

-----Original Message-----

From: Cronin, Gerry
Sent: 30 June 2010 14:41
To: Pratt, Steven
Subject: Contact from TIGLS

RESTRICTED-

Steve

Please see other messages and consider an appropriate response in discussion with myself, I would as per previous events be keen to keep this low key, if necessary increasing resilience at Ellon on Saturday & Sunday.

It is also of significance that work is due to start on the course on Thursday.

I will be away sharp today but can be contacted on my personal mobile (Bluetooth capability) [REDACTED]

Thanks

Gerry

-----Original Message-----

From: Cummings, John

14/09/2011

078

Imray, Andrew

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 July 2010 17:06
To: Pratt, Steven
Subject: Telephone Message

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Hello Insp,
I had a call for you from Sarah Malone at Trump [REDACTED]
She called to advise they intend to erect fencing on estate on wed 28th at 08:00hrs as opposed to Thur 29th at 8am.
No need to call her back, just wanted you advised regarding this.

Thanks

[REDACTED]
Service Centre Advisor

079

Imray, Andrew

From: Pratt, Steven
Sent: 12 August 2010 13:32
To: Ballantyne, Robert; Dempster, Shona (Clerkess); Bryson, Catriona; Archer, Stuart; Clark, Iain; Di Meola, Marcus; Donaldson, Martin; Duffus, James; Forbes, Julie (PC); Hird, Kevin; Ivancic, Ian; Lind, Ian; Maclean, Patricia; McArthur, Kirsty; McKay, Scott; Milne, Allan; Ramsay, Andrew; Ritchie, Alexander; Roach, Richard; Roger, Matthew; Summers, Blake; Suttar, Stuart; Thomson, Steve; Thornley, Philip; Vaughan, Stephen; Wilkinson, James
Cc: Ross, Allan; McLaren, Mark; Hiley, Derek; Thomson, Bob; Forsyth, Gordon; Harries, Alun; Lamb, Philip; McCombie, Kerry; Reynolds, Sean
Subject: MENIE ESTATE

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Following on from the Sergeants meeting that I had on Monday 9 August, during which we discussed the policing response to Menie Estate, I have decided to re-issue the overall strategy when dealing with matters that come to our attention at the estate;

Maximise safety
Minimise disruption
Facilitate lawful protest
If crimes are committed detect and report to PF

So far our response has been proportionate to the matters being reported but there is an undercurrent from some members of the wider community that the police are not being impartial, an opinion that I would refute wholeheartedly.

Today, Thursday 12 August, I met with Sarah Malone, who some of you have met, the Executive Vice President of the development. During our discussion I re-emphasised our strategy and the independent role that we have. The development is going well and September will see a big increase in earth movement and plant activity on the golf course itself.

I also attended at various properties, including Hermit Point and Mill of Menie, around the estate to make myself known and emphasise the above.

I realise that at times it can be difficult dealing with incidents on the estate but as long as you treat everyone fairly, proportionately and the same then no more can be asked and you will have my full backing. When you patrol on the estate please remember that you are there to assist everyone and provide a visible police presence to those who live there equally as much as the developers. Take the opportunity to speak to everyone and emphasise our impartiality.

If anyone has any questions do not hesitate to speak to me or one of the Sergeants.

Steve Pratt
Formartine Local Policing Team Inspector

080

McKenzie, Jody

From: Pratt, Steven
Sent: 02 September 2010 09:31
To: Morrice, Graeme
Subject: RE: Mennie Development

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Graeme - I have a meeting with them at 10am today - the Community Impact Assessment document provides all the information that I have been involved in so if you want to look at that feel free. I will attach it to this message - it is a month out of date - the next up date will be done this month. Steve

-----Original Message-----

From: Morrice, Graeme
Sent: 02 September 2010 08:19
To: Pratt, Steven
Subject: FW: Mennie Development

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Steve,

just to enquire if you have anything coming up with the Trump organisation before I charge ahead?

Regards

Graeme

Graeme Morrice
Counter Terrorism Security Advisor
Energy and Protective Security Unit

Grampian Police
Force Headquarters
Queen Street
Aberdeen AB10 1ZA

Tel: [REDACTED]
Fax: +44 (0) 1224 301618
Email: graeme.morrice@grampian.pnn.police.uk
Web: www.grampian.police.uk

-----Original Message-----

From: Hiley, Derek
Sent: 01 September 2010 13:37
To: Morrice, Graeme
Subject: Re: Mennie Development

Hi Graeme, I am about to change roles to Ch Insp in Peterhead and as such will be leaving Trump development with Area Insp Steve Pratt. To my knowledge this is a good time to engage with Sarah and or Paul Oconnor (supt). Check with Steve though. Not leaving country for advice and assistance though. D

From: Morrice, Graeme
To: Hiley, Derek

Sent: Wed Sep 01 09:28:08 2010

Subject: Mennie Development

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Morning Derek,

trust life is treating you well?

Do you have anything upcoming with the Trump organisation which I could jump on board with?

Am keen to have another chat with possible Sarah Malone or the Architects regarding CT security, security in general and to basically engage with the organisation, however unwilling they may be!

If you have nothing planned, can I assume Sarah is the best contact within the organisation, or is there another better suited individual?

Regards

Graeme

081

Imray, Andrew

From: Morrice, Graeme
Sent: 02 September 2010 11:28
To: Pratt, Steven
Subject: RE: Mennie Development

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Thanks for this Steve.

Assume that you would like to be informed of any engagement undertaken between me and TIGLS?

Initial plan is to speak with Sarah Malone in terms of the future protective security measures they are planning. This will cover things like building materials, security and evacuation planning, BCP, CCTV, alarms, guarding, personnel security, major event planning etc.

Regards

Graeme

-----Original Message-----

From: Pratt, Steven
Sent: 02 September 2010 09:31
To: Morrice, Graeme
Subject: RE: Mennie Development

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Graeme - I have a meeting with them at 10am today - the Community Impact Assessment document provides all the information that I have been involved in so if you want to look at that feel free. I will attach it to this message - it is a month out of date - the next update will be done this month. Steve

-----Original Message-----

From: Morrice, Graeme
Sent: 02 September 2010 08:19
To: Pratt, Steven
Subject: FW: Mennie Development

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Steve,

just to enquire if you have anything coming up with the Trump organisation before I charge ahead?

Regards

Graeme

Graeme Morrice
Counter Terrorism Security Advisor
Energy and Protective Security Unit

Grampian Police
Force Headquarters

