

I'm back, thanks to everyone who supports me and supports the removal of Trident. I really do appreciate it, thank you!

The report was first sent out on the 05/05/15. It took 12 days for it to be released . There were times were I doubted I'd even get the word out. However, It didn't really matter how much doubt I had; I was never going to stop trying. I knew as soon as the word got out the programme would be put in checkmate. I've never felt any doubt towards the people's ability to remove the threat. Some people think it's over; the only thing that's over is the programme. The public will remove the threat, it's now just a matter of time. If the conservatives don't end it, the Scottish government will.

I will remain a law abiding citizen, by only discussing information that has already become available to the public.

We cannot achieve peace without understanding war. Anyone can argue with my strategies, but no-one can argue with Sun Tzu's in The Art of War. Unless stated otherwise all quotes in this post are from The Art of War. "The art of war is of vital importance to the State. It is a matter of life and death, a road either to safety or to ruin. Hence it is a subject of inquiry which can on no account be neglected." Some people are angered by my actions, "Anger may in time change to gladness; vexation may be succeeded by content. But a kingdom that has once been destroyed can never come again into being; nor can the dead ever be brought back to life."

The majority of the people that I've spoken to recently, seemed more interested in my political views than the safety and security issues. My actions aren't driven by politics; they are solely driven by my concern for public safety. I'm not yet fully up to date with how seriously each political party has taken this issue. Some of them may appear to be interested but they might lack the ability to see through the wool that the military spin doctors have been trying to pull over their eyes. I will not back any political party that agrees with maintaining the threat, and maintaining the suppression of the Scottish people's right to defend themselves.

I will give the Prime Minister time to make his decision. I have a lot of respect for Mr Cameron's strong stance in Europe. Reform in the EU is desperately needed. The EU has not yet unlocked its true potential. A fully reformed European Union would provide both economic and physical security for generations. There is currently a massive lack of vision within Europe; it has become controlled by countries who are seeking to profit from its values, without showing any concern in protecting Europe itself. If Europe continues along this path it will collapse, which will give Russia the opportunity to expand. We cannot let that happen! We also can't maintain unity within Europe, without first achieving unity within the UK.

My primary concern right now is as always, the safety of the UK. On the 07/07/15 I will make my judgement on whether or not the Conservatives are showing a desire to conserve Trident. That would essentially be a desire to suppress Scotland's freedom to defend itself.. If I feel that they are, I will start a campaign that will create an independent Scotland. No country should ever be forced to pay for and keep a system that is severely endangering its people and land; while simultaneously cutting the budget of the system designed to save its people (NHS). The majority of Scotland and the SNP government want Trident removed. If another independence election arises as result of the Scottish people being clearly suppressed, then I guarantee you, Scotland will unite, and they will vote Yes! If I'm not available on the 07/07/15 then I trust Nicola Sturgeon and Alex Salmond can determine what my choice would've been.

Some people have been asking themselves the question, Trident Yes or No? This isn't as simple as, should we have big bombs or ehh should we not have big bombs? The real question is do you want the false deterrent / open attraction / major threat called Trident or do you want a genuine deterrent

through unity?

All of the charges against me were dropped; there's nothing that I can be charged with now. I believe The Home Office are still doing their investigation, but that's nothing to worry about. Most people know that I acted in the interest of national security. However, I was still given a dishonourable discharge from the Royal Navy. On the claim that my sole aim was to discredit their public image.. The truth is, I view the Royal Navy as the greatest navy in history. Making self sacrifices just to discredit the Royal Navies image makes no sense whatsoever. It is shocking that some people in a military force can be more concerned about public image, than public safety. I would've been home a bit earlier if I accepted The Captain of the base's offer for SNLR Discharge. However signing that paper would've shown that I agreed to his accusations, the report contained several falsities. He used the word "several" but could only give one example. A claim which I instantly proved to be 100% false. He had simply misunderstood the wording in one of the paragraphs. His report which then contained zero evidence went to a commodore, then he kicked me out for discrediting the navies image.

It should be noted that a lot of military personnel which I've recently encountered showed that they know the report is truthful, and a lot them wished me luck on completing my objectives. Some of them were shocked that I didn't receive an honourable discharge or wasn't kept in the service. Personally, I thought honourable discharge would've been nice but I didn't really care if it was honourable discharge or not. I just respectfully told them that I'd accept any punishment as long as the accusations against me are true. In fairness the Base Captain had a hard decision to make. He has to maintain military discipline, and an honourable discharge certainly wouldn't have been good for military discipline. From his point of view, think he made the right choice, it was just the words he used in his claim that angered me; a claim which held zero evidence.

On my final day the base Captain sat in front of me explaining his views. He started by telling me, that he used to work at Faslane. Then went onto say - it isn't possible for people's bags to be checked, it would take far too long to get onto the boat – there's nothing wrong with the security at the site, everyone has went through security checks – sometimes the gates don't work, that's bound to happen. Then he mockingly asked me, "do you actually believe that security should be taken to the level of everyone getting their eyeballs scanned and bags x-rayed?" I tried to explain my views to him at the time, but he barely let me speak. I'm sure he'll read this post.

"There are five dangerous faults which may affect a General: 1. Recklessness, which leads to destruction. 2. Cowardice, which leads to capture 3. A hasty temper which can be provoked by insults 4. A delicacy of honour which is sensitive to shame 5. Over-solicitude for his men, which exposes him to worry and trouble. These are the five besetting sins of a general." Any of those sound familiar?

The people in the room looked at the base Captain and seen a high ranking officer with a lot of experience, then they looked me and just seen an engineering technician ET/AB. It appeared that everyone of them favoured the base Captains and Commodore's views on security. I'm extremely confident that a lot kids have read the report and instantly seen the lack military science being used. Alexander the Great, St. Joan of Arc, Scipio Africanus, Augustus (Octavian) Caesar, they were all extremely successful experts in the art stratagem at very young ages; perfect examples of how history shows that the words of strategy shouldn't be judged on someone's age or experience. "The good fighters of old first put themselves beyond the possibility of defeat, and then waited for an opportunity of defeating the enemy." I'm not saying that I'm even close to the greats level of tactical awareness. The point I'm trying to make is, experience can make someone become accustomed to a particular way. It's like explaining your new mobile phone to your granny. My granny always defended the phone with the cord attached. The only difference is my granny

attempted to make a convincing argument; you can't lose your phone when it's attached to a cord..

I accept that those risks were probably acceptable during the cold war period however, "Do not repeat the tactics which have gained you one victory, but let your methods be regulated by the infinite variety of circumstances." Conditions have dramatically changed, we are now in a Global war against terrorism "Therefore, just as water maintains no constant shape, so in warfare there are no constant conditions." We must adapt in order to survive!

My answer to his lack of interest in security is: I didn't have the security clearance needed to do my job. Anyone without a DV clearance certainly shouldn't have been trusted to put any part of their body inside a nuclear missile. My experience makes me question the level of security checks carried out on the numerous civilian contractors that go down those boats every week; ranging from carpenters to system maintainers. Remember, we are fighting a global war on terrorism! Some polls show that as much as 7% of the UK support the Islamic State. "There is no instance of a nation benefiting from prolonged warfare." All it takes is for one contractor or one person from the military to put a bomb in his/her bag, and the UK is at a high risk of becoming the Chernobyl of Europe. As mentioned in the report, those pin code gates didn't work most of time. It's hard to tell when they do work; the security guards just buzz anyone through because a lot of people have forgotten their pin code. That final gate is an extremely important part of the line of defence. "That the impact of your army may be like a grindstone dashed against an egg – this is effected by the science of weak points and strong." Without that gate all that a crafty terrorist in Britain needs to get onto a nuclear submarine is a couple of fake Ids.

We are fighting a modern war, and IS has already proven that they have the ability to obtain fake Ids. "If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle". The Islamic State have already proven to be a formidable enemy:

Hacking - BBC reported, "Mr Merkel said IS was known for using cyber-attack tools in conflict zones to gather intelligence about enemy forces." "Supporters of IS were helping it with opportunistic attacks and more sophisticated operations, said FireEye." "There's a lot of people that go to Syria fight for IS but another way to fight is to stay home and do it from there," said David Merkel, chief technology officer at FireEye."

Online news source, "U.S. Central Command, Twitter and YouTube accounts were hacked with pro-Islamic State messages. The "Islamic State Hacking Division" claims it hacked military servers, databases and email accounts to obtain the information." "A self-proclaimed arm of ISIS reportedly posted the names and addresses of 100 U.S. military personnel online Friday night, along with a chilling message:"We have decided to leak 100 addresses so that our brothers residing in America can deal with you. Kill them in their own lands, behead them in their own homes, stab them to death as they walk their streets thinking that they are safe."

ZDNET, "ISIS came onto the scene very quickly, but they already have arguably the best cyber offensive capability of any extremist movement out there, and it's still early days," Mikko Hypponen, chief research officer at F-Secure said. It's not yet really a big problem, but obviously this isn't getting better, this is getting worse," he said. One such hacker is Abu Hussain Al Britani, a British citizen that F-Secure had been tracking as a traditional hacker three years ago. They lost track of him two years ago, but found him again last summer in Syria. He was offline for maybe half a year, but he came back online two days ago, together with his wife. They are both British citizens. And he's actually involved with the attacks that we saw against the US Central Command in which the home addresses of US generals were posted online, Hypponen said."

Fraud – Dailymail, "ISIS are funding their evil war by milking Britain's benefits system through false claims, online fraud and student loans" "First time the Whitehall department has admitted the fraudulent claims" "Other European countries have been forced to admit that they too have been

stung in similar frauds. In Denmark, it has been revealed that more than two dozen jihadists received unemployment benefits from the Danish government while fighting for Islamic State in Syria, totalling close to £500,000.” “Experts believe jihadists have committed a number of high-level frauds to deliberately abuse the welfare system”

Recruitment – Dailymail, “How Dewsbury, the once great textile town of the North, has undergone a terrifying transformation. UK's youngest ever suicide bomber was from Dewsbury, West Yorkshire. Talha Asmal, 17, killed himself by detonating a car packed with explosives. Several other British-born terrorists have also been radicalised in the town.” “There is no official figure for the number of British fighters who have joined ISIS but estimates claim it could be up to 1,000.” The mirror, “Anthony small: Ex British boxing champ 'sold career memorabilia to fund trip to join ISIS'.” “Mr Whittam said Small had also taken steps to get a false Portuguese passport and driving licence in the lead-up to the arrests. You may think that was to raise money. Although he had yet to receive his false documents the same people who provided the false documents for the first two defendants had prepared false documents for him.”

Interest in Nukes - *John Cantlie ISIS prisoner wrote, “Let me throw a hypothetical operation onto the table. The Islamic State has billions of dollars in the bank, so they call on their wil?yah in Pakistan to purchase a nuclear device through weapons dealers with links to corrupt officials in the region. The weapon is then transported overland until it makes it to Libya, where the muj?hid?n move it south to Nigeria. Drug shipments from Columbia bound for Europe pass through West Africa, so moving other types of contraband from East to West is just as possible. The nuke and accompanying muj?hid?n arrive on the shorelines of South America and are transported through the porous borders of Central America before arriving in Mexico and up to the border with the United States. From there it's just a quick hop through a smuggling tunnel and hey presto, they're mingling with another 12 million “illegal” aliens in America with a nuclear bomb in the trunk of their car. Perhaps such a scenario is far-fetched but it's the sum of all fears for Western intelligence agencies and it's infinitely more possible today than it was just one year ago. And if not a nuke, what about a few thousand tons of ammonium nitrate explosive? That's easy enough to make. The Islamic State make no secret of the fact they have every intention of attacking America on its home soil and they're not going to mince about with two muj?hid?n taking down a dozen casualties if it originates from the Caliphate. They'll be looking to do something big, something that would make any past operation look like a squirrel shoot, and the more groups that pledge allegiance the more possible it becomes to pull off something truly epic. Remember, all of this has happened in less than a year. How more dangerous will be the lines of communication and supply a year on from today? If the West completely failed to spot the emergence of the Islamic State and then the allies who so quickly pledged allegiance to it from around the world, what else of massive significance are they going to miss next?”*

Ibtimes, “India's Minister of State for Defence has warned the world that the Islamic State (Isis) militants can acquire nuclear weapons from Pakistan. “With the rise of ISIS in West Asia, one is afraid to an extent that perhaps they might get access to a nuclear arsenal from states like Pakistan,” said Rao Inderjit Singh on 30 May, reported Bloomberg, amidst the Shangri-La regional security conference in Singapore.”

The Front page mag, “The Australian foreign minister, Julie Bishop, made an alarming revelation to the *Australian* newspaper, which was picked up by Britain's *independent* and then by the world. ISIS, she said, presently has the capacity to build a large, radioactive disbursement device known as a “dirty bomb.” If this is true, no one doubts the willingness of the Islamic State (IS, or ISIS) to use such a terror-inducing bomb on any target it wants. ISIS has bragged about its desire to obtain an actual nuclear bomb, not just a dirty bomb”

ISIS are growing stronger everyday. "No long war ever profited any country: 100 victories in 100 battles is simply ridiculous. Anyone who excels in defeating his enemies triumphs before his enemy's threats become real".

I know how crazy some of you tin foil hat guys can be, so before people start any conspiracy theories, I must point out that I'm in no way linked to IS. People have shown an interest in the timing of my report, numbers and all that crap.. Some people have even suggested that I'm sort of SNP super agent; absolutely ridiculous. The report was first sent out on 05/05/15 two days before the election. Which has made some people think that I done it before the election to help the SNP, and people have even asked what's significant about 555 and 147 (my email address number). It's just a coincidence that it was released on the 5th. I started typing up the full report after my patrol, there's no way that I could've timed it. The 5th is when I finished writing the report. When I joined the Royal Navy, I had know idea that I was going to work with nuclear weapons. When I found out I was happy; I used to think they were an essential tool in maintaining peace, by deterring war. It wasn't until I seen the major safety and security issues that I realised the system is more of a threat than a deterrent. People should take the level of thought they put into conspiracy theories and use it to see the safety and security issues. Instead of worrying about numbers and crap, how about worrying about getting rid of the threat.. "Thus, though we have heard of stupid haste in war, cleverness has never been associated with long delays."

It's not just IS that we need to defend ourselves against: Al-Qaeda, Taliban, Boko Haram, lone wolves.. The threat by inferior forces is greater than it has ever been, "He will win who knows how to handle both superior and inferior forces." They'll probably say "don't forget about the QM" the QM barely does his job, he just sits in his box most of the time and it's far too easy to just walk straight past him (Read the Report). I've walked straight past them and straight down the boat without being on the QM's access list, and that was before any of them knew who I was.

We need extra manning at the QM positions, no-one should be able to get onboard without being on an access list, Ids must be checked thoroughly, and I know people will think it's a pain in the ass but all of the equipment that contractors bring onboard must be checked. Security at the site must be put above its current highest possible state, until the missiles are removed. "He who can modify his tactics in relation to his opponent and thereby succeed in winning, may be called a heaven-born captain." "You can ensure the safety of your defence if you only hold positions that cannot be attacked." A detonated bomb onboard wouldn't cause nuclear explosion. However, there's enough potential energy in those rockets to launch the warheads and the contents of the nuclear reactor, across Scotland and beyond, why are we accepting that risk? and when have I ever mentioned eye ball scanners? My objectives are clearly to peacefully remove the false Deterrent and open attraction called Trident. We must also start working towards creating a genuine deterrent. "To secure ourselves against defeat lies in our own hands, but the opportunity of defeating the enemy is provided by the enemy himself. Thus the good fighter is able to secure himself from defeat.. " "According as circumstances are favourable, one should modify ones plans".

Some people within the RN have been maliciously spreading the rumour that most of the information in my report is just hearsay. People should read the report before they judge it. After sitting down and going through the 30 incidents that Rob Edwards covered, they were amazed when they realised that 27 of them were either witnessed or read in documented reports, on the patrol. Again read my report before making assumptions! I clearly explained the sources, along with where I gathered the information. The other 3 of the 30 were accurate reports of what was described to me by experienced submariners, and the quotes are word for word quotes. It doesn't matter if they were just spinning shit dits, the recordings of their claims are still 100% accurate. 1 out of 3 of those claims has already been proven, with images showing the aftermath of the submarine crash. Those images can be found online (not leaked by me). They are attempting to use the down play method

by saying that the information was made available to the public. Anyone with a brain knows they wouldn't have just released those images. They attempted to cover it up. What they won't tell you is the only information released about the crash was information they were forced to release because someone leaked a picture of the aftermath. This is mentioned online, it's public information.

Other submariners have been anonymously releasing information to journalists. It's only a matter of time before worse information comes out, and everything is proven to be true. Even high ranking senior figures have expressed their concerns. For example the telegraph reported, "five retired senior officers with significant maritime aviation experience" showed major concerns for the removal of one of Trident's defences, Nimrod. Their report in the Telegraph stated "We know that Russian submarines are monitoring the area from which our nuclear missile submarines emerge from the Clyde. Without maritime patrol aircraft surveillance, opportunities for intelligence-gathering by such 'intruders' can only prejudice the security and effectiveness of our strategic deterrent. "Indeed, it would be surprising if valuable intelligence had not already been acquired by the Russian Navy since the Nimrod force was grounded in March 2010."

"You can be sure of succeeding in your attacks if you only attack places which are undefended." I hope that the security issues are being dealt with. Safety and Security for nuclear weapons should never be thought of as the best we can do, when clearly it's far from it. Security at the site must be put above its current highest possible state, until all the missiles are removed. The missiles must be removed without hesitation, "the worst calamities that befall an army arise from hesitation".

I must point out that I'm not suggesting everybody should release every bit of information they can get their hands on. Some people have no understanding of what should and shouldn't be released. Don't be like those guys who just put everything on a pen drive and release it all. All of my charges were dropped because I carefully selected information. Besides a few paragraphs I didn't release any official documentation, no names were released etc. There is a line between increasing security and damaging security. You must never cross that line. You should always start by raising your concerns from within the system. If that doesn't work you can file a representation. I raised my concerns within the system first, but the staff never took the complaints seriously. They all thought they were salty sea dogs who knew best. Their philosophy is: the easier it is to get down the boat; the less time spent in the rain.. The representation method was never even discussed. If I had of known about the representation method there's a chance I'd still be a member of The Royal Navy and I probably would've been in line for another fast promotion after my leading hands course. However, I'm just a man. All I can do is try to walk the best path. I believe Ecclesiastes 3 : 11 He hath made every thing beautiful in his time: also he hath set the world in their heart, so that no man can find out the work that God maketh from the beginning to the end. All paths will lead to the same place. It's not about where your going, it's about how you get there. Isaiah 42:16 "I will lead the blind by a way they do not know, In paths they do not know I will guide them. I will make darkness into light before them And rugged places into plains. These are the things I will do, And I will not leave them undone." Sun Tzu, "If you know the enemy and know yourself, your victory will not stand in doubt; if you know Heaven and know Earth, you may make your victory complete."

It's just a matter of time before Trident will be seen as a threat by the majority of the public. The Royal Navy have been assigned with the programme. They won't just try to maintain and protect it physically, they will also try to protect and maintain its reputation "All warfare is based on deception". The Trident programme is in checkmate, but I suspect that some people will continue to try and move pieces. It's not up to the RN to stop the programme, it is up to the government.

The military deceivers are good at what they do, but this job will be impossible for them. Their arguments don't stand up to simple logic and there is a complete lack of uniformity in their claims.

They told me, the investigators found no signs of personnel ignoring alarms; obviously, no-one will be ignoring alarms with investigators standing watching them! They told me, some of the alarms that I mentioned weren't present; obviously, the boat is now alongside in harbour.. Who's running this investigation, Captain obvious!?! For one example: It's a fact that N2 is topped up alongside, the alarm won't activate again until it drops below a certain psi. When they pointed that one out they never mentioned that I was a fully qualified submariner, that had responded to alerts and alarms signed off in his Strategic Weapon System task book; basically they inadvertently backed up what I said when I mentioned people are getting tasks in their task books signed off without fully understanding the system. Simple logic and a basic understanding of engineering is enough to break down any of these desperate attempts to deceive. I must point out that the officer who was explaining the investigation to me had never even been on a submarine. They only made one attempt to lie to me, then they soon realised I wasn't buying their bullshit. They never attempted to lie to me again. Instead they switched to the down play method and offered to send someone from Faslane down to tell how everything isn't as bad as I think it is, and it's all under control.. After they seen how pissed off I was with them they decided that wasn't going to work. I hope what he said about the investigation wasn't true; hopefully the actual investigators have served on the Vanguard class submarines, and are up to date with the systems and routines, otherwise that investigation is absolutely pointless. I'll happily help the investigators if they want, and I'll get them enough evidence within a week.

Please look at all the evidence and use logic, instead of just assuming. When I was in Germany some people were assuming that I was trying to remain undetected from the Navy, whilst simultaneously trying to hand myself into the Navy. That makes no logical sense whatsoever. However, I do understand that assumption. I was avoiding a threat, and the wording in my Facebook posts could've been clearer. Some of the sentences can be wrongly interpreted, it's mainly my fault for not explaining the situation in more detail, I'm sorry. I was safe in custody. At one point I had a whole prison wing to myself, my room just had a bed in it and I was checked on every 15 minutes.. It doesn't get much safer than that.. The civilian police, army MPS, and Royal Navy staff were all great. I spent most of the time at HMS Nelson. After they realised I wasn't a threat my forced escorts turned into optional escorts.

Being in prison and detention wasn't that bad, I was relaxed because I knew I couldn't do anything. The worst part was when I had free movement but I had no freedom of speech. I seen a post on my personal Facebook page from someone claiming to be ex navy; he was trying to discredit me and the report, and I couldn't do anything about it. The only reason I didn't respond to the brain washed idiot on Facebook was because I was still in the military, and speaking to the public would've just prolonged my inability to freely speak. I've been strongly advised to remain silent and live a private life. I'm civilian now, and I have the right to free speech. I'm not going to waste that freedom by just sitting around on my ass, while the UK is in danger.

As for my run-ins aboard, the people I seen seemed to be foreign. I've explained those situations in detail to the investigators. I have an assumption of which government it might have been, but it's just an assumption and I won't make any accusations on an assumption. CI have told me that it's highly likely that foreign governments will attempt to approach me again at some point. They said, it's highly likely they'll try to approach me by pretending to be a reporter. I'm not planning on speaking to TV crews and reporters for a while, not because I think your a bunch of spies, but because I need to read up on what's going on so I can respond appropriately. Also I want to observe the governments reaction. I'll mostly be just observing the governments response until the 07/07/15. Now that I've got a bit of free time I should probably find out why I'm spitting out blood; I was happy enough when I thought it was just my wisdom teeth. It's kind of ironic considering how much I've been going on about not assuming.

In an ideal world my life would be private. This world is far from ideal and I see the media as a much needed tool in changing it. My contact with media will be done in a controlled and calculative manner. "The general who loses a battle makes but few calculations beforehand. Thus do many calculation lead to victory." I don't care how much money I'm offered to appear on TV or in the newspapers; I will refuse do it, unless it benefits my objectives. Right now, I don't feel that it will be beneficial. There's enough information out there for the government to make their decision, and I want to observe how they react to it. I've never experienced the rich and famous life style, but I'm bored of it already. There are far too many people in this world who believe the greatest achievement in life is having a massive chain around their neck, owning a ridiculous amount of overly priced cars and all that selfish shit.. Besides being good for the economy, most them are useless. How about using some of your money to build something like a hospital or an orphanage? One day they'll look back on their life and see what that chain around their neck actually symbolises. I will never live that lifestyle. Please, Just focus your stories on what needs to be done.

The UK and it's allies have become too relaxed in the war on terror. "Success in warfare is gained by carefully accommodating ourselves to the enemy's purpose." "Knowing the enemy enables you to take the offensive, knowing yourself enables you to stand on the defensive." It is absolutely astounding how some people can't even remember back to the beginning of the Iraq war, when they were lied to about nuclear weapons in Iraq. Now you're being lied to about how safe and secure the nuclear weapons on your homeland are. It will be extraordinarily ironic if the people that were radicalised by the war in Iraq to stop WMDs are the ones who stop WMDs in the UK. We must continue to do our best to stop that from happening. The Trident programme must be peacefully removed ASAP. "The art of war teaches us to rely not on the likelihood of the enemy not coming, but on our own readiness to receive him; not on the chance of his not attacking, but rather on the fact that we have made our position unassailable."

What are the military benefits of the false deterrent / open attraction called Trident? Some people are so paranoid and naive that they believe Russia or China will attack the UK as soon as we remove Trident. They seem to forget about all the other NATO nations that would deter such an attack. The real deterrent is unity. "If the armies troops march up angrily and remain facing ours without either joining battle or taking themselves off again, the situation is one that demands great vigilance and circumspection." It is clear that we must maintain some form of deterrent in order to deter even the mere thought of a hostile expansion, from nations likes of Russia, Iran, North Korea... "However conditions have changed dramatically since the Cold War. "Do not repeat the tactics which have gained you one victory, but let your methods be regulated by the infinite variety of circumstances." "Therefore, just as water maintains no constant shape, so in warfare there are no constant conditions."

I have considered how nuclear weapons provide a deterrent against every hostile and potential hostile nation. I've concluded that the Trident system is not a strong deterrent; in fact due to the war on terrorism I now consider the Trident system to be the opposite of a deterrent. Those rusty boats are useless against all of the nations that we might find ourselves at war with. I was shocked to find that some people think nuking Iran or North Korea would be the best option if we go to war with them. That strategy is absolutely ridiculous. Any kind of nuclear a attack on Iran or North Korea would start a world war. China would unquestionably respond to a nuclear attack on North Korea, and Russia would almost certainly respond to a nuclear attack on Iran. We need a genuine deterrent. A deterrent which won't cause any long-term environmental damage.

The UK has spent an astounding amount of money on the Trident programme. This government has plans to replace the Trident submarines with the new Successor class submarines. CND reports that the cost of Successor could exceed "£100 Billion". The Guardian reported, "The future of Britain's nuclear submarine fleet is set to become a major election issue this week amid concern that billions

of pounds is being spent on a successor before parliament has approved an upgrade.” Another perfect example of their lies.. This is happening while the government is making cuts to the NHS! Most of Europe shares the same currency, we have European laws, we have free movement within Europe, we protect each other economically... It's time to create European funded defence. It's the only way we can make sure that all European nations contribute to Europe's defence. There's no reason for the UK to be spending all of that money during austerity cuts. This is the perfect opportunity for those European leaders who are currently perceived as leeches to change into lions. Angela Merkel, “We must constantly renew Europe’s political shape so that it keeps up with the times.”

This is not the time for me to explain what I think is the perfect strategy for dealing with every potential threat. My primary concern is the removal of the current threat, Trident. I believe that Europe is essential to the UK's long term survival; I also believe that Scotland would comfortably survive being independent, and I won't let them be denied the right to defend themselves. It's up to the elected government to decide between, the false deterrent called Trident or the genuine deterrent unity. 07/07/15